# HR Expert Presentation Secondary Ad Hoc Committee



## Model A1

**Financial Implications** 

Enrollment + Staffing	Total Enrollment: 1526 Class Sizes: 27-29 (22-30)	Total Enrollment: 1444 Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)
Ratios		Teacher FTE Reduction: 8.5 (Core)
Program Offerings + Equity	<ul> <li>Range of Electives: Better ability to maintain with improved efficiencies</li> <li>Access to Electives: More equitable than status quo</li> </ul>	
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: Reduce 2.0 positions (Deans of Students)</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: Reduce 13.0 positions</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports FTE: Reduce 8.0 positions</li> </ul>	
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: More consistent</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: More consistent</li> <li>Related Services Travel: Less than status quo</li> </ul>	
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: Disrupts staff assignments across 4 schools</li> <li>Future Recruitment: More desirable assignments with less travel and consolidated grade levels</li> </ul>	
Operational + Logistical Considerations	<ul> <li>Staff Travel + Assignments: Reduced need for staff travel</li> <li>Schedule Impact: Reduced schedule conflicts and more consistent prep time</li> </ul>	

FTE Reduction Savings: \$1.5M not including potential elective FTE reductions

Staffing Sustainability: Improved with consolidated schools

Potential for Expanded Programs: More feasible with lower cost and fewer FTEs

West (Grades 7-12, school-in-school)

East (Grades 7-12, school-in-school)

## Model A2

Operational + Logistical

**Financial Implications** 

Considerations

Enrollment + Staffing	Total Enrollment: 1526 Class Sizes: 27-29 (22-30)	Total Enrollment: 1444 Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)			
Ratios		Teacher FTE Reduction: 8.5 (Core)			
Program Offerings + Equity	·	<ul> <li>Range of Electives: Better ability to maintain with improved efficiencies</li> <li>Access to Electives: More equitable than status quo</li> </ul>			
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: Reduce 4.0 positions (2 Principals + 2 Deans of Students)</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: Reduce 13.0 positions</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports FTE: Reduce 8.0 positions</li> </ul>				
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: More consistent</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: More consistent</li> <li>Related Services Travel: Less than status quo</li> </ul>				
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: Disrupts staff assignments across 4 schools</li> <li>Future Recruitment: More desirable assignments with less travel and consolidated grade levels</li> </ul>		evels		

Schedule Impact: Reduced schedule conflicts and more consistent prep time

FTE Reduction Savings: \$1.5M not including potential elective FTE reductions Potential for Expanded Programs: More feasible with lower cost and fewer FTEs

Staff Travel + Assignments: Reduced need for staff travel

Staffing Sustainability: Improved with consolidated schools

West (Grades 7-12)

East (Grades 7-12)

#### Model B

**Financial Implications** 

	East (Grades 9-12)	West (Grades 9-12)	Longfellow (Grades 7-8)		
Enrollment + Staffing Ratios	Total Enrollment: 1055 Class Sizes: 27-29 (22-30)	Total Enrollment: 988 Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)	Total Enrollment: 927 Class Sizes: 27-28 (22-30)		
_	Teacher FTE Reduction: 5.0 (Core)				
Program Offerings + Equity	<ul> <li>Range of Electives: Slightly better ability to maintain with improved efficiencies</li> <li>Access to Electives: Slightly more equitable than status quo</li> </ul>				
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: 2.0 positions (1 Principal + 1 Dean of Students)</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: 6.0 positions</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports FTE: 3.0 positions</li> </ul>				
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: Slightly more consistent</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: Slightly more consistent</li> <li>Related Services Travel: Slightly less than status quo</li> </ul>				
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: Disrupts staff assignments across 2 schools</li> <li>Future Recruitment: Slightly more desirable assignments with middle school consolidation</li> </ul>				
Operational + Logistical Considerations	<ul> <li>Staff Travel + Assignments: Reduced need for middle school travel</li> <li>Schedule Impact: Current schedule conflicts will continue across middle/high school</li> </ul>				

FTE Reduction Savings: \$870K not including potential elective FTE reductions

Staffing Sustainability: Slightly improved with middle school consolidation

Potential for Expanded Programs: More feasible with lower cost and fewer FTEs at middle school

#### Model C

Considerations

**Financial Implications** 

Enrollment + Staffing	Class Sizes: 28-29 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 28-29 (22-30)		
Ratios	Teacher FTE Reduction: 5.6 (Core)			
Program Offerings + Equity		tango o zao do zao de la companya de		
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: Reduce 6.0 positions (2 Principals + 2 Associate Principals + 2 Deans of Students)</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: 17 positions</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports: 6 positions</li> </ul>			
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: Most consistent</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: Most consistent</li> <li>Related Services Travel: Least compared to status quo and other options</li> </ul>			
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: Most disruptive to assignments across 4 schools, 6 grade levels</li> <li>Future Recruitment: More desirable assignments with less travel and consolidated grade levels</li> </ul>			
Operational + Logistical	Staff Travel + Assignments: Reduces need for staff travel			

Schedule Impact: Reduced schedule conflicts and more consistent prep time

FTE Reduction Savings: \$1.7M not including potential elective FTE reductions

Staffing Sustainability: Most improved with consolidated schools

Potential for Expanded Programming: Most feasible with lowest cost and fewest FTEs

West (Grades 10-12)

Total Enrollmont: 1572

East (Grades 7-9)

Total Enrollmont: 1/70

•

# Model D

Considerations

**Financial Implications** 

Enrollment + Staffing Ratios	Class Sizes: 27-28 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 28-29 (22-30)	
	Teacher FTE Reduction: 8.4 (Core)		
Program Offerings + Equity		<ul> <li>Range of Electives: Best ability to maintain with improved efficiencies</li> <li>Access to Electives: Most equitable compared to status quo and other options</li> </ul>	
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: Reduce 6.0 positions (2 Principals + 2 Associate Principals + 2 Deans of Students)</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: 13 positions</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports: 6 positions</li> </ul>		
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: Most consistent</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: Most consistent</li> <li>Related Services Travel: Least compared to status quo and other options</li> </ul>		
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: More disruptive to assignments across 4 schools</li> <li>Future Recruitment: More desirable assignments with less travel and consolidated grade levels</li> </ul>		
Operational + Logistical	Staff Travel + Assignments: Least need for staff travel		

Schedule Impact: Reduced schedule conflicts and more consistent prep time

Staffing Sustainability: Most improved with consolidated schools

FTE Reduction Savings: Cost savings: \$1.78M not including elective FTE reductions
Potential for Expanded Programming: Most feasible with lowest cost and fewest FTEs

West (Grades 9-12)

Total Enrollment: 2043

East (Grades 7-8)

Total Enrollment: 927

# Model E

**Financial Implications** 

Enrollment + Staffing Ratios	Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 27-28 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 25-26 (22-30)
	Teacher FTE Reduction: 0 (Core)			
Program Offerings + Equity	Range + Access to Electives: No change			
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: No change</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: No change</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports: 2 positions</li> </ul>			
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: No change</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: No Change</li> <li>Related Services Travel: No change</li> </ul>			
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: No disruption to assignments</li> <li>Future Recruitment: Maintain current level of required travel</li> </ul>			
Operational + Logistical Considerations	<ul> <li>Staff Travel + Assignments: Maintains current teacher travel for shared assignments</li> <li>Schedule Impact: Maintain current schedule conflicts + prep time</li> </ul>			

Potential for Expanded Programs: Least feasible with greatest cost and most FTEs

Staffing Sustainability: Least sustainable without school consolidation

FTE Reduction Savings: \$140K

West (Grades 9-12)

Total Enrollmont: 000

Longfellow (Grades 7-8)

Total Enrollmont: (71

Whitman (7-8)

Total Enrollmont: /EG

East (Grades 9-12)

Total Enrollmont: 10EE

# Model E

**Considerations** 

**Financial Implications** 

Enrollment + Staffing Ratios	Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 27-28 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 26-27 (22-30)	Class Sizes: 25-26 (22-30)
	Teacher FTE Reduction: 0 (Core)			
Program Offerings + Equity	Range + Access to Electives: No change			
Administrative + Support Staffing	<ul> <li>Administrator FTE: No change</li> <li>Operations + Support FTE: No change</li> <li>Pupil + Family Supports: 2 positions</li> </ul>			
Special Education + Student Services	<ul> <li>IEP Caseloads: No change</li> <li>State/Federal Compliance: No Change</li> <li>Related Services Travel: No change</li> </ul>			
Recruitment + Retention	<ul> <li>Employee Experience + Retention: No disruption to assignments; improved instructional spaces</li> <li>Future Recruitment: Maintain current level of required travel</li> </ul>			
Operational + Logistical	Staff Travel + Assignments: Maintains current teacher travel for shared assignments			

Schedule Impact: Maintain current schedule conflicts + prep time

Staffing Sustainability: Least sustainable without school consolidation

Potential for Expanded Programs: Least feasible with greatest cost and most FTEs

FTE Reduction Savings: \$140K

West (Grades 9-12)

Class Sizos: 27 29 (22 70)

Total Enrollment: 988

Longfellow (Grades 7-8)

Total Enrollment: 471

Class Sizos: 26 27 (22 70)

Whitman (7-8)

Class Sizos: 25 26 (22 70)

Total Enrollment: 456

East (Grades 9-12)

Total Enrollment: 1055

Class Sizos: 26 27 (22 70)

#### Human Resources Recommendation

	Option
Most Preferred	1. Option D 2. Option C
Preferred	3. Option A2 4. Option A1
Least Preferred	5. Option B 6. Option F 7. Option E